arXiv:1504.07211v1 [math.PR] 27 Apr 2015

The relation between mixed and rough SDEs and its application to numerical methods

Andreas Neuenkirch
Institut für Mathematik, Universität Mannheim
A5, 6, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany
`email: neuenkirch@kiwi.math.uni-mannheim.de`
Taras Shalaiko
Institut für Mathematik, Universität Mannheim
A5, 6, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany
`email:tshalaik@uni-mannheim.de`

April 28, 2015

Abstract *We study the relationship between mixed stochastic differential equations and the corresponding rough path equations driven by standard Brownian motion and fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$. We establish a correction formula, which relates both types of equations, analogously to the Itō-Stratonovich correction formula. This correction formula allows to transfer properties, which are established for one type of equation to the other, and we will illustrate this by considering numerical methods for mixed and rough SDEs.*

Keywords. Stochastic differential equations, rough paths, fractional Brownian motion, correction formula, limit theorem.
AMS subject classifications.60H10;60H35, 60G22.

1 Introduction

In this manuscript we will consider two types of stochastic differential equations (SDEs), the so-called mixed SDEs (see e.g. [1, 2]) and rough SDEs (see e.g. [3, 4]) driven by standard Brownian motion and fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$. The mixed SDE reads as

$$X_t^M = x_0 + \int_0^t a^M(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b^M(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_s + \int_0^t c^M(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}B_s^H, \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

while the corresponding rough path equation is given by

$$X_t^R = x_0 + \int_0^t a^R(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b^R(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}W_s + \int_0^t c^R(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}B_s^H, \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

where $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an m-dimensional Wiener process, $B^H = (B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ is an ℓ-dimensional fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H > 1/2$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and the coefficients $a^M, a^R \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to$

$\mathbb{R}^d$, $b^M, b^R\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times m}$, $c^M, c^R\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times \ell}$ satisfy suitable smoothness assumptions. The precise definitions are given below.
The difference between both equations is the definition of the stochastic integrals with respect to the Brownian motion. When dealing with mixed equations, $\int_0^t b^M(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_s$ is understood as Itō integral, while for rough equations $\int_0^t b^R(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}W_s$ corresponds to a Stratonovich integral. Both equations have been well studied so far; in [5, 6] the unique solvability of the mixed SDE was shown, while the well definedness and unique solvability of the rough path SDE have been obtained e.g. in [7].

In this manuscript we establish a correction formula (see Sections 3 and 4) between equations (1) and (2), which extends the Itō-Stratonovich correction formula that goes back to two articles of W. Wong and M. Zakai ([8, 9]). This allows to transfer results valid for rough SDEs to mixed SDEs and vice versa. We will illustrate this by establishing the smoothness of the solution map and by recovering a limit theorem for the mixed SDE (1) in Section 5; furthermore, we point out how this limit theorem can be used to construct and analyse numerical methods for mixed SDEs, and we show that the "natural" Euler scheme for the rough SDE converges to the rough solution.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation and Definitions

In what follows we will work on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, which is rich enough to contain all the objects defined below. Let $W = (W_t^{(1)}, \dots, W_t^{(m)})_{t\geq 0}$ be a standard m-dimensional Wiener process, $B^H = (B^{H,(1)}, \dots, B^{H,(\ell)})_{t\geq 0}$ be an ℓ-dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst index $H \in (1/2, 1)$, that is a collection of centered, independent Gaussian processes, independent of W as well, with covariance function

$$R_H(t,s) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right), \qquad s,t \geq 0.$$

The Kolmogorov theorem entails that fBm has a modification with γ-Hölder sample paths for any $\gamma < H$ and we will identify B^H with this modification in the following.

We will use the following standard notation: $|\cdot|$ stands for an absolute value of a real number, the Euclidean norm of a finite dimensional vector or of a matrix. Moreover, for a function $f\colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ we define the following (semi-)norms:

$$\|f\|_{\infty,[a,b]} = \sup_{x\in[a,b]} |f(x)|, \qquad \|f\|_{\gamma,[a,b]} = \sup_{\substack{x,y\in[a,b]\\ x\neq y}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^\gamma},$$

$$\|f\|_{\gamma,\infty,[a,b]} = \|f\|_{\gamma,[a,b]} + \|f\|_{[a,b],\infty}\,.$$

If there is no ambiguity we will omit an interval index $[a,b]$. For a vector valued function $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d)\colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ a corresponding (semi-) norm is defined as the sum of the (semi-) norms of the coordinates f_i. Next, for a function $f\colon [a,b]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, that vanishes on a diagonal, i.e. $f(t,t) = 0$ for $t \in [a,b]$, we set

$$\|f\|_{\gamma,[a,b]^2} = \sup_{\substack{t,s\in[a,b]\\ t\neq s}} \frac{|f(t,s)|}{|t-s|^\gamma}.$$

The set of such functions with a finite norm $\|f\|_{\gamma,[a,b]^2}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma([a,b]^2)$, that is $\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma([a,b]^2) = \{f\colon [a,b]^2 \to \mathbb{R} \mid f(t,t)=0,\ t\in[a,b],\ \|f\|_{\gamma,[a,b]^2} < \infty\}$.

Moreover, we will use the notation $C_b^{k,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1};\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ for functions $f : \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$, which are bounded, k-times differentiable with bounded derivatives and whose k-th derivative is Hölder continuous of order $\delta > 0$. Finally, if a map $c : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{k,m}$ is fixed, we introduce the differential operators $\mathcal{D}_c^{(i)} = \sum_{l=1}^k c_{l,i}^M(\cdot)\partial_{x_l}$.

2.2 Integrating with respect to standard Brownian motion and fBm with $H > 1/2$

For basic facts for Itō or Stratonovich integration with respect to standard Brownian motion we refer e.g. to [10, 11].

The integral with respect to a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$ is understood in the pathwise Young sense. Namely, for $f \in \mathcal{C}^\nu([a,b];\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^\mu([a,b];\mathbb{R})$ with $\nu+\mu > 1$ the integral $\int_a^b f(x)\mathsf{d}g(x)$ can be defined as the limit of its Riemann sums and satisfies the so-called Young inequality

$$\left|\int_a^b (f(s)-f(a))\mathsf{d}g(s)\right| \le C_{\nu,\mu}\|f\|_{\nu,[0,T]}\|g\|_{\mu,[0,T]}|b-a|^{\lambda+\mu}$$

for all $a,b \in [0,T]$, where $C_{\nu,\mu} > 0$ is a constant independent of f and g. Thus, the integral $\int_a^b f(s)\mathsf{d}B_s^{H,(i)}$ for a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\beta([a,b];\mathbb{R})$ is well defined provided that $\beta > 1-H$. More details can be found e.g. in [12, 4].

2.3 Mixed SDEs

The mixed equation (1) reads as

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^M = x_0 + \int_0^t a^M(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}s + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t b^{M,(j)}(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_s^{(j)} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^\ell \int_0^t c^{M,(j)}(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}B_s^{H,(j)}, \qquad t\in[0,T], \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $(\cdot)^{(j)}$ denotes the j-th column of a matrix. As mentioned previously the integrals with respect to the Brownian motions are understood as Itō integrals, while the integrals with respect to the fractional Brownian motions are understood as Young integrals. This equation has been analysed in a series of articles ([1, 2, 5, 6]). The most general result on the existence of a unique solution can be found in [6]:

Theorem 1. *Assume that*

(i) $a^M, b^{M,(i)}, c^{M,(j)} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i=1,\dots,m$, $j=1,\dots,\ell$,

(ii) $a^M, b^{M,(i)}, c^{M,(j)}$, $i=1,\dots,m$, $j=1,\dots,\ell$, *satisfy a linear growth condition, i.e. there exists* $C>0$ *such that*

$$|a^M(x)| + \sum_{i=1}^m |b^{M,(i)}(x)| + \sum_{j=1}^\ell |c^{M,(j)}(x)| \le C(1+|x|), \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

(iii) $\sup_{j=1,\dots,\ell} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |(\mathrm{D}\, c^{M,(j)})(x)| < \infty$.

Then equation (1) *has a unique solution, i.e. there exists a unique continuous and* $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ *adapted process* $X = (X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$*, which satisfies equation* (1) *for almost all* $\omega \in \Omega$.

The above solution is in fact obtained as the limit (in probability) of the solutions of Itō SDEs with random coefficients, namely of

$$X_t^{M,n} = x_0 + \int_0^t \big(a^M(X_s^{M,n}) + c^M(X_s^{M,n})\dot{B}_s^{H,n}\big)\mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b^M(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_s, \qquad t\in[0,T],$$

where $B_t^{H,n} = n\int_{(t-1/n)\vee 0}^t B_s^H \mathsf{d}s$, $t\in[0,T]$, $n = 1,2,\dots$, is a smoothed fBm, see [5].

2.4 Rough paths

Here we briefly recall some notions of the rough path theory, following the algebraic integration approach given in [13] and the recent monograph [14]. For a detailed exposition the reader is sent to [3, 4, 13, 14].

Definition 1. *Let* $\gamma > 1/3$. *A pair* $(x,\mathbf{x}) = (x_s, \mathbf{x}_{s,t})_{0\le t,s\le T} \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m)\times\mathcal{C}_2^{2\gamma}([0,T]^2;\mathbb{R}^{m\times m})$ *is called a* γ*-rough path if* $\mathbf{x}_{t,t} = 0$ *for all* $t\in[0,T]$ *and for all* $0\le s<u<t\le T$ *we have*

$$\mathbf{x}_{s,t} - \mathbf{x}_{s,u} - \mathbf{x}_{u,t} = (x_s - x_u)\otimes(x_t - x_u).$$

The function $(\mathbf{x}_{s,t})_{s,t,\in[0,T]}$ is called Lévy area.

Remark 1. *(i) A Lévy area for the fractional Brownian motion* B^H *with Hurst parameter* $H > 1/2$ *is defined as the collection of Young integrals*

$$\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{B}_{s,t})_{0\le s<t\le T} = \left\{\int_s^t\int_s^u \mathsf{d}B_v^{H,(i)}\mathsf{d}B_u^{H,(j)};\ 1\le i,j\le \ell\right\}_{0\le s<t\le T}.$$

(ii) The Lévy area for standard Brownian can be constructed using Stratonovich integration, i.e.

$$\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{W}_{s,t})_{0\le s<t\le T} = \left\{\int_s^t\int_s^u \circ\,\mathsf{d}W_v^{(i)}\circ\mathsf{d}W_u^{(j)};\ 1\le i,j\le m\right\}_{0\le s<t\le T},$$

where $\int\circ\mathsf{d}W$ *denotes the Stratonovich integral.*

(iii) In the same way a Lévy area for $(t, W_t, B_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ *can be constructed, i.e. using* $\mathbf{W}$ *for the iterated integrals of* $W^{(i)}$ *with respect to* $W^{(j)}$, $i,j = 1,\dots,m$, *and Young integrals for all other iterated integrals.*

Together with the notion of the Lévy area the following concept is at the core of the algebraic integration approach of M. Gubinelli.

Definition 2. *We say that a path* $y\in\mathcal{C}^\nu([0,T];\mathbb{R}^k)$ *with* $\nu\in(1/3,\gamma]$ *is a weakly controlled path based on* $x\in\mathcal{C}^\gamma([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m)$ *if the following decomposition holds*

$$y_t - y_s = z_s(x_t - x_s) + r_{s,t}, \qquad 0\le s\le t\le T, \tag{4}$$

with $z\in\mathcal{C}^\nu([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{k\times m})$ *and* $r\in\mathcal{C}_2^{2\nu}([0,T]^2;\mathbb{R}^k)$.

When (y,z) is a weakly controlled path, then the rough integral of y along x can be defined as

$$\int_0^t y^{(i)} \mathsf{d} x_s^{(j)} = \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \left(y_{t_k}^{(i)} (x_{t_{k+1}}^{(j)} - x_{t_k}^{(j)}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} z_{t_k}(i,\ell) \mathbf{x}_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(\ell,j) \right), \tag{5}$$

for $i = 1,\dots,k$, $j = 1,\dots,m$, see e.g. Corollary 2 in [13]. Here the limit is taken over all partitions $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_{-1} = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = t_{n+1} = t\}$ such that $|\mathcal{P}| = \sup_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} |t_k - t_{k-1}| \to 0$. Weakly controlled paths are stable under smooth transformations:

Proposition 1. *Let (y,z) be a weakly controlled path based on x with decomposition (4), and let $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^k;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\varphi(y)$ is a weakly controlled path based on x with decomposition*

$$\varphi(y_t) - \varphi(y_s) = \hat{z}_s(x_t - x_s) + \hat{r}_{s,t},$$

with

$$\hat{z}_s = (\mathrm{D}\,\varphi)(y_s) z_s, \qquad s \in [0,T].$$

Using appropriate estimates for the integrals the solution to the rough paths equation

$$dy_t = \sigma(y_t) \mathsf{d} x_t, \quad t \in [0,T], \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times m}$ is obtained via a fixed point argument.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $\kappa \in (1/3,\gamma)$, $x\colon [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a γ-rough path and let $\sigma \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^{d\times m})$ such that $(2+\delta)\gamma > 1$. Then the equation*

$$y_t = a + \int_0^t \sigma(y_s) \mathsf{d} x_s, \qquad t \in [0,T],$$

possesses a unique solution in the space of the functions $z \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $z_0 = a$. Moreover, $(y,\sigma(y))$ is a weakly controlled path based on x.

As a consequence of this Theorem and Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \sigma^{(i)}(y_s) \mathsf{d} x_s^{(i)} \\ &\quad = \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sigma^{(i)}(y_{t_k})(x_{t_{k+1}}^{(i)} - x_{t_k}^{(i)}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_\sigma^{(\ell)} \sigma^{(i)}(y_{t_k}) \mathbf{x}_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(\ell,i) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The solution map for a rough equation is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to the initial value and the driving signal. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 3. *Let $\kappa \in (1/3,\gamma)$, $\sigma \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^{d\times m})$ such that $(2+\delta)\gamma > 1$, $a, \tilde{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let x, $\tilde{x}$ be γ-rough paths with corresponding Lévy areas $\mathbf{x}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$. Finally, let $(y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$, $(\tilde{y}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be the solutions of the RDEs*

$$y_t = a + \int_0^t \sigma(y_s) \mathsf{d} x_s, \quad \tilde{y}_t = \tilde{a} + \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{y}_s) \mathsf{d} \tilde{x}_s, \qquad t \in [0,T].$$

Then there exist an increasing function $C_T\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that

$$\|y-\tilde{y}\|_{\gamma,\infty,[0,T]} \leq C_T\big(\|x\|_{\gamma,\infty,[0,T]} + \|\tilde{x}\|_{\gamma,\infty,[0,T]} + \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\gamma,[0,T]} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\gamma,[0,T]}\big)$$
$$\times(|a-\tilde{a}| + \|x-\tilde{x}\|_{\gamma,\infty,[0,T]} + \|\mathbf{x}-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{2\gamma,[0,T]}).$$

Returning to our original rough SDE, i.e. to

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^R = x_0 + \int_0^t a^R(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}s + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t b^{R,(i)}(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}} W_s^{(i)} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \int_0^t c^{R,(j)}(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}} B_s^{H,(j)}, \qquad t\in[0,T], \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

the previous results yield a unique solution, if $a^R, b^{R,(i)}, c^{R,(j)} \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i=1,\ldots,m$, $j=1,\ldots,\ell$, for $\delta>0$ arbitrarily small, where the Lévy area for $(t,W_t,B_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is constructed as in Remark 1.

3 From the rough paths equation to the mixed equation

Here we show that the solution of the rough SDE (7) is the solution of the mixed equation (3) with

$$a^M(x) = a^R(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{b^R}^{(i)} b^{R,(i)}(x),\ b^M(x) = b^R(x),\ c^M(x) = c^R(x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{8}$$

Theorem 4. *Let $\delta>0$ and $a^R, b^{R,(i)}, c^{R,(j)} \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i=1,\ldots,m$, $j=1,\ldots,\ell$. Then the solution X^R of the rough equation (7) and the solution X^M of the mixed equation (3) with coefficients given by (8) coincide P-almost surely, i.e. we have*

$$P\big(X_t^M = X_t^R,\, t\in[0,T]\big) = 1.$$

Proof. For the $m+\ell+1$ dimensional rough path $g=(\mathrm{id},W,B^H)$ denote its Lévy area by $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{G}_{s,t})_{0\leq s<t\leq T}$. Now fix $t\in[0,T]$. Using relation (6) for $\sigma = (a^R,b^R,c^R)\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times m}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times\ell}$ we can write

$$\int_0^t \sigma^{(i)}(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}} g_s^{(i)} = \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0}\sum_{t_k\in\mathcal{P}}\left(\sigma^{(i)}(X_{t_k}^R) g_{t_k,t_{k+1}}^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{1+m+\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}^{(j)}\sigma^{(i)}(X_{t_k}^R)\mathbf{G}_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(j,i)\right)$$

for all $i=1,\ldots,1+m+\ell$.

Since $g^{(1)} = \mathrm{id}$ and the integrand is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0}\sum_{t_k\in\mathcal{P}} \sigma^{(1)}(X_{t_k}^R) g_{t_k,t_{k+1}}^{(1)} \overset{P-a.s.}{=} \int_0^t a^R(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}s.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma^{(i+1)}(X^R_{t_k}) g^{(i+1)}_{t_k,t_{k+1}} \overset{L^2(\Omega)}{=} \int_0^t b^{R,(i)}(X^R_s) \mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}} W^{(i)}_s,$$

by definition of the Itō integral since $b^{R,(i)}(X^R_s)$, $s \in [0,T]$, is bounded and adapted. Moreover, the sample paths of X^R are γ-Hölder continuous for all $\gamma < 1/2$ and B^H are Hölder continuous of all orders $\lambda < H$, thus we have

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma^{(i+m+1)}(X^R_{t_k}) g^{(i+m+1)}_{t_k,t_{k+1}} \overset{P-a.s.}{=} \int_0^t c^{R,(i)}(X^R_s) \mathsf{d} B^{H,(i)}_s$$

for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ by definition of the Young integral.

Now consider the summands involving the Lévy area terms. If $(i,j) \notin (2, \dots, m+1)^2$, then the Young inequality gives

$$\sup_{\substack{t,s\in[0,T] \\ t \neq s}} \frac{\left| \int_s^t (g^{(i)}_u - g^{(i)}_s) \mathsf{d} g^{(j)}_u \right|}{|t-s|^{1+\varepsilon}} < \infty \qquad P - a.s.$$

and hence it follows

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}^{(j)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_{t_k}) \mathbf{G}_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(j,i) \overset{P-a.s.}{=} 0.$$

Next suppose $(i,j) \in (2, \dots, m+1)^2$ and $i \neq j$, then $\mathbf{G}_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(i,j) = \int_s^t (W^{(i)}_u - W^{(i)}_s) \mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}} W^{(j)}_u$, since the Stratonovich and the Itō integral coincide due to the independence of $W^{(i)}$, $W^{(j)}$. Exploiting the independence of $G_{t_k,t_{k+1}}$ from $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{E}\Big[\Big| \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}^{(j)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_{t_k}) \mathbf{G}_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(j,i) \Big|^2\Big] \\
&\quad = \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathsf{E}\Big[|\mathcal{D}^{(j)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_{t_k})|^2 \Big(\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (W^{(j)}_u - W^{(j)}_{t_k}) \mathsf{d} W^{(i)}_u \Big)^2 \Big] \\
&\quad = \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathsf{E}\Big[|\mathcal{D}^{(j)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_{t_k})|^2 \Big] \mathsf{E}\Big[\Big(\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (W^{(j)}_u - W^{(j)}_{t_k}) \mathsf{d} W^{(i)}_u \Big)^2 \Big] \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathsf{E}\Big[|\mathcal{D}^{(j)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_{t_k})|^2 \Big] |\mathcal{P}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The last term clearly vanishes for $|\mathcal{P}| \to 0$. Finally, if $i = j$ we have

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (W^{(i)}_u - W^{(i)}_{t_k}) \circ \mathsf{d} W^{(i)}_u = \frac{1}{2} (W^{(i)}_{t_{k+1}} - W^{(i)}_{t_k})^2$$

and we obtain

$$\lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}^{(i)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_{t_k}) (W^{(i)}_{t_{k+1}} - W^{(i)}_{t_k})^2 \overset{P-a.s.}{=} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}^{(i)}_\sigma \sigma^{(i)}(X^R_s) \mathsf{d}s.$$

The latter follows from

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}(t_k)(W_{t_{k+1}}^{(i)}-W_{t_k}^{(i)})^2-s\right|\overset{P-a.s.}{\longrightarrow}0$$

as $|\mathcal{P}|\to 0$ and a density argument.

By passing to a subsequence, we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+\ell+1}\int_0^t\sigma^{(i)}(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}g_s^{(i)}\overset{P-a.s.}{=}\int_0^t\Big(a^R(X_s^R)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathcal{D}_{b^R}^{(i)}b^{R,(i)}(X_s^R)\Big)\mathsf{d}s$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^t b^{R,(i)}(X_s^{(R)})\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_s^{(i)}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\int_0^t c^{R,(i)}(X_s^R)\mathsf{d}B_s^{H,(i)}$$

for all $t\in[0,T]$. Since both sides are continuous in t for almost all $\omega\in\Omega$, the exceptional set can be chosen independently of $t\in[0,T]$. Hence the assertion follows. ☐

4 From the mixed equation to the rough paths equation

Throughout this section we assume that $a^M, b^M, c^M\in C_b^2$. Under this assumption the solution $X^M=(X_t^M)_{t\in[0,T]}$ to (3) exists, is unique and satisfies $\mathsf{E}\|X\|_\theta^p<\infty$ for all $p\geq 1$ and $\theta<1/2$, see [6]. Now, we will show that X^M is the solution to (7) with the coefficients

$$a^R(x)=a^M(x)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathcal{D}_{b^M}^{(i)}b^{M,(i)}(x),\ b^R(x)=b^M(x),\ c^R(x)=c^M(x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{9}$$

In order to do to this, we have to show that $(X^M(\omega),\sigma(X^M(\omega))$ is a weakly controlled path based on $\{g(t)(\omega),\mathbf{G}_{t,s}(\omega)\}_{0\leq s<t\leq T}$ for almost all $\omega\in\Omega$, where $\sigma=(a^M,b^M,c^M)$. However, this is a consequence of the following two Lemmata.

Lemma 1. *Let $h\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$. Then for all $\gamma\in(0,1/2)$ there exist almost surely finite random variables $K_{T,h,\gamma,g}$ such that*

$$\left|\int_s^t(h(X_u^M)-h(X_s^M))\mathsf{d}g(u)\right|\leq K_{T,h,\gamma,g}\cdot|t-s|^{2\gamma},\qquad s,t\in[0,T],$$

where $g\in\{\mathrm{id},B^{H,(i)}\}$ with $i\in\{1,\ldots,\ell\}$.

Proof. Since $X^M\in C^\gamma([0,T])$ for all $\gamma<1/2$, the assertion is a direct consequence of the Young inequality, which gives

$$\left|\int_s^t(h(X_u^M)-h(X_s^M))\mathsf{d}g(u)\right|\leq C_{\gamma,\gamma'}|t-s|^{\gamma+\gamma'}\|f(X^M)\|_{\gamma,[0,T]}\|g\|_{\gamma',[0,T]}$$

for $1-\gamma<\gamma'<H$. ☐

Lemma 2. *Suppose* $(Z(t),\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ *is a stochastic process with* θ*-Hölder trajectories for all* $\theta\in(0,1/2)$*, such that* $\mathsf{E}\|Z\|_\theta^p<\infty$ *for all* $p\geq 1$*. Then, for all* $\eta\in(0,\theta)$*, there exists an almost surely finite random variable* $K_{T,\eta}$ *such that*

$$\left|\int_s^t (Z(v)-Z(s))\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_v\right|\leq K_{T,\eta}|t-s|^{1/2+\eta},\qquad s,t\in[0,T].$$

Proof. Let $\theta\in(0,1/2)$. Applying the Rodemich-Garcia-Rumsey inequality, we obtain that

$$\sup_{\substack{t,s\in[0,T]\\ t\neq s}}\frac{\left|\int_s^t(Z(v)-Z(s))\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_v\right|}{|t-s|^{1/2+\eta}}\leq C_{\theta,\eta,p}\left(\int_s^t\int_s^t\frac{|\int_x^y(Z(v)-Z(s))\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_v|^{2p}}{|x-y|^{(1+2\eta)p+2}}\mathsf{d}x\mathsf{d}y\right)^{1/2p}.$$

Now put

$$K_{T,\eta}=\left(\int_s^t\int_s^t\frac{|\int_x^y(Z(v)-Z(s))\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_v|^{2p}}{|x-y|^{(1+2\eta)p+2}}\mathsf{d}x\mathsf{d}y\right)^{1/2p}.$$

The Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality gives

$$\mathsf{E}\left|\int_x^y(Z(v)-Z(s))\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_v\right|^{2p}\leq C_{\theta,p}|y-x|^{(1+2\theta)p}.$$

Choosing p such that $2(\theta-\eta)p>1$ we obtain

$$\mathsf{E}K_{T,\eta}^{2p}=\int_s^t\int_s^t\frac{\mathsf{E}|\int_x^y(Z(v)-Z(s))\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_v|^{2p}}{|x-y|^{(1+2\eta)p+2}}\mathsf{d}x\mathsf{d}y\leq C_{\theta,p}\int_s^t\int_s^t|x-y|^{-2(\theta-\eta)p-2}\mathsf{d}x\mathsf{d}y<\infty.$$

□

Now we can exploit the representation (5) and Proposition 1 to work backwards through the proof of Theorem 4, which gives:

Theorem 5. *Let* $a^M,b^{M,(i)},c^{M,(j)}\in C_b^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$*,* $i=1,\ldots,m$*,* $j=1,\ldots,\ell$*, and suppose that* $X^M=(X_t^M)_{t\in[0,T]}$ *is the solution to* (3)*, then* X^M *is a solution to the rough equation* (7) *with coefficients given by* (9)*.*

Note that the smoothness of the drift coefficient of the arising rough path SDE is C_b^1. Within the algebraic integration framework it is not known (up to the best of our knowledge) whether such an equation has a unique solution.

5 Application to numerical methods

5.1 Limit theorem for mixed equations

At the core of the theory of mixed equations is a limit theorem in [5], which we will briefly recall here. Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and define

$$B_t^{H,n}=n\int_{(t-1/n)\vee 0}^t B_s^H\mathsf{d}s,\qquad t\geq 0.$$

Note that $B^{H,n}$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$-adapted Gaussian process such that its trajectories are a.s. differentiable and

$$\dot{B}_t^{H,n} = n(B_t^H - B_{(t-1/n)\vee 0}^H), \qquad t \geq 0.$$

Suppose that $X^{M,n} = (X_t^{M,n})_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a solution to

$$X_t^{M,n} = x_0 + \int_0^t \big(a(X_s^{M,n}) + c(X_s^{M,n})\dot{B}_s^{H,n}\big)\mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b(X_s^M)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}}W_s, \qquad t \in [0,T]. \tag{10}$$

Then we have

$$X^{M,n} \to X^M,\ n \to \infty \text{ uniformly in probability.} \tag{11}$$

Setting $g^n = (\mathrm{id}, W, B^{H,n})$, we can apply the stability of rough paths equations and the relation between mixed and rough SDEs to recover and strengthen this result.

First note that the integral $\int_0^t c(X^{R,n})\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}B_s^{H,n}$ coincides with the ordinary Young integral $\int_0^t c(X_s^{R,n})\dot{B}_s^{H,n}\mathsf{d}s$. Proceeding analogously to the proof of Theorem 4 we have:

Proposition 2. *Let $\delta > 0$ and $a, b^{(i)}, c^{(j)} \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1,\ldots,m$, $j = 1,\ldots,\ell$. Then the solution $X^{R,n}$ of the rough equation*

$$X_t^{R,n} = x_0 + \int_0^t \widetilde{a}(X^{R,n})\mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b(X^{R,n})\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}W_s + \int_0^t c(X^{R,n})\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}}B_s^{H,n}, \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{12}$$

with

$$\widetilde{a}(x) = a(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_b^{(i)} b^{(i)}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and the solution of equation (10) *coincide P-almost surely.*

Our aim is now to prove:

Proposition 3. *Let $\tilde{a}, b^{(i)}, c^{(j)} \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1,\ldots,m$, $j = 1,\ldots,\ell$, and $\gamma \in (1/3, 1/2)$. Then we have*

$$\|X^{R,n} - X^R\|_{\gamma,\infty,[0,T]} \overset{P-a.s.}{\longrightarrow} 0 \qquad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

This result directly implies:

Corollary 1. *Let $a, b^{(i)}, c^{(j)} \in C_b^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1,\ldots,m$, $j = 1,\ldots,\ell$, $\gamma \in (1/3,1/2)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_b^{(i)} b^{(i)} \in C_b^{2,\delta}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have*

$$\|X^{M,n} - X^M\|_{\gamma,\infty,[0,T]} \overset{P-a.s.}{\longrightarrow} 0 \qquad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Recalling that $g^n = (\mathrm{id}, W, B^{H,n})$ Proposition 3 follows from Theorem 3 and the following two Lemmata. (Note that the following estimates are not covered by Section 15.5 in [4], since $B^{H,n}$ is not a mollifier approximation.)

Lemma 3. *For all $0 < \gamma < \gamma' < H$ there exists an almost surely finite random variable $K_{T,\gamma,\gamma'}$ such that*

$$\|B^{H,n} - B^H\|_{\gamma,[0,T]} \leq K_{T,\gamma,\gamma'} \cdot n^{-(\gamma'-\gamma)}.$$

Proof. Clearly, it is sufficient to consider the one dimensional case. For $t \leq 0$ define $B_t^H = 0$. Fix $t, s \in [0,T]$ and $\gamma' \in (\gamma, H)$. First, consider the case $|t-s| \geq \frac{1}{n}$. Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_t^H - B_s^H - B_t^{H,n} + B_s^{H,n}| &\leq n \left| \int_{t-1/n}^t (B_u^H - B_t^H) \mathsf{d}u \right| + n \left| \int_{s-1/n}^s (B_u^H - B_s^H) \mathsf{d}u \right| \\ &\leq 2 \left\| B^H \right\|_{\gamma',[0,T]} \frac{1}{n^{\gamma'}} \leq 2 \left\| B^H \right\|_{\gamma',[0,T]} |t-s|^{\gamma} \frac{1}{n^{\gamma-\gamma'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, when $|t-s| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} |B^H - B_s^H - B_t^{H,n} + B_s^{H,n}| &\leq |B_t^H - B_s^H| + |B_t^{H,n} - B_s^{H,n}| \\ &\leq \left\| B^H \right\|_{\gamma',[0,T]} |t-s|^{\gamma'} + n \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{n}}^0 (B_{t+u}^H - B_{s+u}^H) \mathsf{d}u \right| \\ &\leq 2 \left\| B^H \right\|_{\gamma',[0,T]} |t-s|^{\gamma'} \leq 2 \left\| B^H \right\|_{\gamma',[0,T]} |t-s|^{\gamma} \frac{1}{n^{\gamma'-\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let $1/2 < \gamma < \gamma' < H$. Then, there exists an almost surely finite random variable $K_{T,\gamma,\gamma'}$ such that*

$$\|\mathbf{G}^n - \mathbf{G}\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^\gamma([0,T]^2)} \leq K_{T,\gamma,\gamma'} \cdot n^{-(\gamma'-\gamma)}.$$

Proof. First, we prove the convergence the elements of the Lévy areas which correspond to the smoothed fBm to the ones of fBm. Fix $t, s \in [0,T]$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{(1)}(s,t) &= \left| \int_s^t (B_u^{H,(i)} - B_s^{H,(i)}) \mathsf{d}B_u^{H,(j)} - \int_s^t (B_u^{H,n,(i)} - B_s^{H,n,(i)}) \mathsf{d}B_u^{H,n,(j)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_s^t \big((B_u^{H,(i)} - B_s^{H,(i)}) - (B_u^{H,n,(i)} - B_u^{H,n,(i)}) \big) \mathsf{d}B_u^{H,(j)} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_s^t (B_u^{H,n,(i)} - B_s^{H,n,(i)}) \mathsf{d}(B_u^{H,n,(j)} - B_u^{H,(j)}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Set $Z_u^{n,(i)} = B_u^{H,(i)} - B_u^{H,n,(i)}$. Applying the Young inequality with $1/2 < \mu < H$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_s^t (Z_u^n - Z_s^n) \mathsf{d}B_u^{H,(j)} \right| &\leq C_\mu \left\| B^{H,(j)} \right\|_\mu \left\| Z^{n,(i)} \right\|_\mu |t-s|^{2\mu}, \\ \left| \int_s^t (B_u^{H,n,(i)} - B_s^{H,n,(i)}) \mathsf{d}(B_u^{H,n,(j)} - B_u^{H,(j)}) \right| &\leq C_\mu \left\| B^{H,n,(i)} \right\|_\mu \left\| Z^{n,(j)} \right\|_\mu |t-s|^{2\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta^{(1)}(s,t)}{|t-s|^{2\mu}} \leq C_\mu \left(\left\| Z^{n,(i)} \right\|_\mu \left\| B^{H,(j)} \right\|_\mu + \left\| Z^{n,(j)} \right\|_\mu \left\| B^{H,(i)} \right\|_\mu + \left\| Z^{n,(j)} \right\|_\mu \left\| Z^{n,(i)} \right\|_\mu \right). \tag{13}$$

Now, we proceed with the parts that correspond to the iterated integrals which involve the Wiener process and the smoothed fBm. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $s, t \in [0, T]$. Again, applying the Young inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{(2)}(s,t) &= \left| \int_s^t (W_u^{(i)} - W_s^{(i)}) \mathsf{d}(B_u^{H,(j)} - B_u^{H,n,(j)}) \right| \\ &\le C_{\lambda,\mu} \left\| Z^{n,(j)} \right\|_\mu \left\| W^{(i)} \right\|_\lambda |t-s|^{\lambda+\mu}\end{aligned}$$

with $0 < \lambda < 1/2, 0 < \mu < H$ such that $\lambda + \mu > 1$. It is only left to deal with

$$\Delta^{(3)}(s,t) = \left| \int_s^t (B_u^{H,(j)} - B_s^{H,(j)}) \mathsf{d}W_u^{(i)} - \int_s^t (B_u^{H,n,(j)} - B_s^{H,n,(j)}) \mathsf{d}W_u^{(i)} \right|.$$

But using the integration by parts formula for Young integrals we obtain

$$\int_s^t (Z_u^{n,(j)} - Z_s^{n,(j)}) \mathsf{d}W_u^{(i)} = (Z_t^{n,(j)} - Z_s^{n,(j)})(W_t^{(i)} - W_s^{(i)}) - \int_s^t (W_u^{(i)} - W_s^{(i)}) \mathsf{d}Z_u^{n,(j)}.$$

Using the previous step yields

$$\Delta^{(3)}(s,t) \le C_{\lambda,\mu} \left\| Z^{n,(j)} \right\|_\mu \left\| W^{(i)} \right\|_\lambda |t-s|^{\lambda+\mu}.$$

The elements of the Lévy area involving t and the "smoothed" fBms are easily treated. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{(4)}(s,t) &:= \left| \int_s^t (B_s^{H,(i)} - B_s^{H,n,(i)}) \mathsf{d}s \right| \le \left\| Z^{n,(i)} \right\|_\mu |t-s|^{1+\mu}, \\ \Delta^{(5)}(s,t) &:= \left| \int_s^t s \mathsf{d}(B_s^{H,(i)} - B_s^{H,n,(i)}) \right| \le \left\| Z^{n,(i)} \right\|_\mu |t-s|^{1+\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

Setting now $\gamma = (\lambda + \mu)/2$, the assertion follows from the previous lemma. ☐

5.2 Constructing numerical methods for mixed equations

The almost sure convergence in the γ-Hölder norm of

$$X_t^{M,n} = x_0 + \int_0^t \big(a(X_s^{M,n}) + c(X_s^{M,n}) \dot{B}_s^{H,n}\big) \mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b(X_s^M) \mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{I}} W_s, \qquad t \in [0,T], \tag{14}$$

with

$$\dot{B}_t^{H,n} = n(B_t^H - B_{(t-1/n)\vee 0}^H), \qquad t \in [0,T],$$

to X^M can be exploited to construct and to analyse numerical methods for mixed equations, proceeding similar to [15, 16]. In the latter references approximations of rough SDEs have been obtained by discretising their Wong-Zakai approximations. For example, applying an Euler discretisation with stepsize $\Delta = 1/n$ to (14) yields the approximation

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + a(x_k)\Delta + b(x_k)(W_{(k+1)\Delta} - W_{k\Delta}) + c(x_k)(B_{k\Delta}^H - B_{(k-1)\Delta}^H), \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $B^H_{-\Delta} = 0$. Equation (14) is an Itô SDE with random coefficients, the only technical difficulty being the unboundedness of $\dot{B}^{H,n}$. Using a localization procedure as e.g. in [17] and standard estimates involving the Itō isometry and Gronwall's lemma one can show that

$$\sup_{k=0,\ldots,\lceil T/n\rceil} |X^{M,n}_{k\Delta} - x_k| \overset{P-a.s.}{\longrightarrow} 0, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

Corollary 1 then implies the convergence of this skewed Euler scheme, i.e.

$$\sup_{k=0,\ldots,\lceil T/n\rceil} |X^{M}_{k\Delta} - x_k| \overset{P-a.s.}{\longrightarrow} 0, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

5.3 The natural Euler scheme for rough SDEs

Using the correction formula, one can establish the convergence of the "natural" Euler scheme

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} = x_k &+ \Big(a(x_k) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_b^{(i)} b^{(i)}(x_k) \Big)\Delta \\ &+ b(x_k)(W_{(k+1)\Delta} - W_{k\Delta}) + c(x_k)(B^H_{(k+1)\Delta} - B^H_{k\Delta}), \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for the rough SDE

$$X^R_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(X^R_s)\mathsf{d}s + \int_0^t b(X^R_s)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}} W_s + \int_0^t c(X^R_s)\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{R}} B^H_s, \qquad t \in [0, T], \tag{16}$$

at least for $m = \ell = 1$. The notion "natural" is based on the following observations: for $b = 0$ equation (16) is an SDE driven by fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$, for which (15) with $b = 0$ is a convergent approximation, see e.g. [18, 4], while for $c = 0$ equation (16) is a Stratonovich SDE, for which (15) with $c = 0$ is again a convergent scheme, see e.g. [11].

Using the results of [19] and Theorem 5 we have:

Proposition 4. *Let $a, b, c \in C^{2,\delta}_b(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$. Moreover let $b'b \in C^{2,\delta}_b(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ and $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} c(x) > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{k=0,\ldots,\lceil T/\Delta\rceil} \big(\mathsf{E}|X^R_{k\Delta} - x_k|^2\big)^{1/2} \le C \cdot \big(\Delta^{1/2} + \Delta^{2H-1}\big).$$

References

[1] Kubilius, K. 2002. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of an integral equation driven by a p-semimartingale of special type. *Stochastic Process and Applications* 98(2): 289–315.

[2] Mishura, Y., and Shevchenko, G. 2011. Stochastic differential equation involving Wiener process and fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H > 1/2$. *Communications in Statistical Theory and Methods* 40 (19–20): 3492–3508.

[3] Lyons, T., and Qian, Z. 2002. *System control and rough paths*, Oxford University Press.

[4] Friz, P., and Victoir, N. 2010. *Multidimensional stochastic processes as rough paths. Theory and applications*, Cambridge University Press.

[5] Mishura, Y., and Shevchenko, G. 2012. Mixed stochastic differential equations with long-range dependence: Existence, uniqueness and convergence of solutions. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 64(10): 3217–3227.

[6] Shevchenko, G. 2014. Mixed stochastic delay differential equations. *Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics* 2 (89): 181–195.

[7] Coutin, L., and Qian, Z.2002 Stochastic rough path analysis and fractional Brownian motion. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 122: 108–140.

[8] Wong, E., and Zakai, M. 1965. On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 36: 1560–1564.

[9] Wong, E., and Zakai, M. 1965. On the relation between ordinary and stochastic differential equations. *International Journal of Engineering Science.* 3: 213–229.

[10] Karatzas, I., and Shreve, S. 1991. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin.

[11] Kloeden, P., and Platen, E. 1999.*Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential equations,* 3rd edition, Springer, Berlin.

[12] Young, L. 1936. An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration, *Acta Mathematica by Uppsala* 67: 251–282.

[13] Gubinell, M. 2004. Controlling Rough Paths. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 216 (1): 86–140.

[14] Friz, P., and Hairer, M. 2014. *A course on rough paths. With an introduction to regularity structures*, Springer, Berlin.

[15] Deya, A., Neuenkirch, A., and Tindel, S. 2012. A Milstein-type scheme without Lévy area terms for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare. Probability and Statistics* 48(2): 518–550.

[16] Friz, P., and Riedel, S. 2012. Convergence rates for the full Gaussian rough paths. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare* 50 (1): 154-194.

[17] Jentzen, A., Kloeden, P., and Neuenkirch, A.2009. Pathwise approximation of stochastic differential equations on domains: Higher order convergence rates without global Lipschitz coefficients. *Numerische Mathematik* 112 (1): 41–64.

[18] Davie, A. Differential equations driven by rough paths: An approach via discrete approximation. 2008. *Applied Mathematics Research eXpress* , Article ID abm009, 40 p.

[19] Mishura, Y., and Shevchenko, G. Rate of convergence of Euler approximations of solution to mixed stochastic differential equation involving Brownian motion and fractional Brownian motion.2011. *Random Operators and Stochastic Equations* 19 (4): 387–406.